

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/887,392	06/22/2001	John R. Hampton	41394-00009USPT	7158
7590 02/13/2004			EXAMINER	
Margaret A. Boulware			POPOVICS, ROBERT J	
Jenkens & Gilcl	hrist			
A Professional Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Louisiana, Suite 1800			1724	
Houston, TX	77002-5214			

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

s = 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/887,392	HAMPTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert J. Popovics	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for, reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	anuary 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,12 and 22-48</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-11 and 13-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)[_] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	d.				
A44 - 1 442		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/9/01.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/887,392

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I and sleeve sub-species 1 (claims 1,3-11,13 and 15-21) in the Response of 1/23/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The Election/Restriction requirement is made FINAL.

Applicant is requested to cancel the non-elected claims in his next response.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5,7-8,11,13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5 and 17 contain the trademark/trade name TEFLON®. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See Ex parte Simpson, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and

Application/Control Number: 09/887,392

Art Unit: 1724

not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe PTFE and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

In claim 8, the recitation "the rigid support" appears to lack clear positive antecedent basis. It appears that claim 8 should properly depend from claim 7 where "a rigid support" is first introduced. See claim 20.

In claim 11, it is unclear what Applicant intends by "towards," in the context used.

In claim 13, it is unclear if Applicant intends to introduce a second "sleeve" using the recitation "sleeve member." It is unclear which structure this corresponds to in the figures.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1,3-4, 9-11,13,15-16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gill (US 2,302,116). See cylindrical shell 33, which is seen to meet the claimed "sleeve" limitation.

Claims 1,3-11 and 15- 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ayers (US 4,539,107). See tube 42, which is seen to meet the claimed "sleeve" limitation.

Claims 1,3-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graves (US 5,306,425). See tube 80 (Fig. 3), which is seen to meet the claimed "sleeve" limitation.

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1,3-5,7-8,10,15-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harris (US 4,420,392). See outer cup 52 and/or inner cup 66, which is seen to meet the claimed "sleeve" limitation.

Claims 1,3,7,9-11,15-16, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinaver (US 4,456,529). See baffle member 132, which is seen to meet the claimed "sleeve" limitation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert J. Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164.

Robert J. Popovics Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

February 9, 2004